

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/409,800	BLATTNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(2) Nicholas Seay.

Date of Interview: 2 June 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 10-11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner's amendment to clarify the language of claim 10 was approved. It was indicated that upon entry of the examiner's amendment, claims 10 and 11 would be allowed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: amended

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:30 pm EST


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)